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Abstract

This paper shows that all flow accounts which may become part of the next System of National Accounts (SNA) can be embedded easily in a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) framework. In fact, a SAM format has several advantages when compared to the traditional T-accounts for the institutional sectors. The SAM allows for more flexibility in selecting a) the most relevant (different) classification in each account, and b) the most relevant (different) valuation principle in each account.

When compared to most currently available SAMs, the National Accounting Flows Matrix in this paper contains, in addition, a complete supply and use system, more detail in the income generation and distribution accounts, a produced capacity account recording the destination of investments by production activity, a financial account, an other changes in assets account (showing holding gains and losses, economic (dis)appearance of non-produced assets etc.) and a changes in balance sheet account. This framework is illustrated with an example for the Netherlands.
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1. Introduction

The present System of National Accounts (SNA) was published at a time [United Nations, 1968] when the Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) framework in its current form had yet to be developed. As set out by Pyatt [1987], a Keynesian growth model underlies the basic design of these famous UN guidelines. Since then, new policy issues have come up, new economic theories have been worked out, and the output of related statistics has increased in most countries. Therefore, it is not surprising that a round of consultations concerning a next revision of the SNA has started a couple of years ago.

This revision process is nearing a final stage now. Recently, the United Nations Statistical Office has sent out a document containing (most of) the outstanding issues, for discussion in meetings of the Regional Commissions of the UN [Inter-Secretariat Working Group on National Accounts, 1990].

This paper serves to indicate to what extent the main principles of the currently existing SAMs have been incorporated in the proposals for the next SNA, and reversely to what extent the SAM framework must be adjusted if one wants link up with the new version of these universal guidelines.\(^1\)
2. Incorporation of the SAM concept into the next SNA

When trying to detect the exact influence of the SAM concept on the most recent set of proposals for amendment of the present SNA, it struck us that basically this impact is limited to an increased attention for a sub-sectoring of the institutional sector households by socio-economic category. Admittedly, a meaningful breakdown of households is a crucial feature of every Social Accounting Matrix. However, the SAM philosophy on accounting goes much further. As also emphasized by Pyatt [1989], the whole accounting system should focus on people, such that attendant, national policy issues can be at the heart of analysis based on these data.

This calls for a full-fledged system of meso-economic accounts with a balanced set of classifications for commodities, production activities, value added categories (including various types of labour) and institutional sectors. It also means that the accounting principles are not exclusively tuned to the objective of international comparability of the figures. The present SNA presupposes that the same, fictive institutional structure exists in every country. This often requires substantial imputations and reroutings of actual transactions. The resulting data set is not particularly suitable to most economic analyses at the national (or regional) level.

At an early stage of the revision process, Dutch national accountants have advocated more flexibility in the next SNA (cf. e.g. van Bochove and van Tuinen [1986]; van Bochove and Bloem [1986]). They have tried to face the consequences of the fact that a single system cannot cater for all purposes of national accounts at all times and across the globe, if only because countries have quite different historical backgrounds and are at quite different stages of development. The solution is to design a national accounts system which consists of a compact, universal core and a set of modules which serve more specific purposes. This core should reflect actual transactions as much as possible, thereby removing the functional elements which reflect a particular view.2) Moreover, the
core should provide for a more elaborate description of the economy at the meso-level (that is including an adequate subsectoring of households in all accounts). Among the mandatory modules would be the one which aims at maximum international comparability.\footnote{3)}

Although the background of these proposals is somewhat different, it is obvious that they share many important elements with the SAM-approach.\footnote{4)} Unfortunately, these suggestions may not be incorporated in the main accounting framework of the next SNA, precisely because it has been argued that "..The various imputed flows in the SNA are designed to enhance the comparability of the national accounts both between countries with different institutional arrangements and within a single country when institutional arrangements change over time." \textcite{Blades, 1989}. This opinion contrasts with the emphasis laid on contemporary (national) policy issues by SAM-builders.

How about the insight the new SNA will provide into the causes and consequences of a certain distribution of welfare? A fairly recent overview of SNA revision proposals \textcite{Harrison, 1989} still mentions that "..the new Blue Book will stress the role of employment and income distribution and redistribution in understanding how the ultimate beneficiaries of production, the population, are affected by changes in the level and type of production undertaken. This inter-relation will be shown explicitly in the framework of social accounting matrices." However, the present discussion paper for the Regional Commissions meetings does not contain a SAM. Instead, it is stated: \"The alternative matrix presentations also serve as a link between the revised SNA and social accounting matrices.\" \textcite{Intersecretariat Working Group on National Accounts, 1990: paragraph 348}. This seems to imply that SAMs will not be part of the new SNA, let alone that they will be incorporated into the Central Framework of the System.

In common with the 1968 SNA, the next SNA may miss some quite crucial inter-relations which are incorporated in a SAM. First and foremost, this applies to the fact that income generation should not only be shown by production activity and by institutional sector, but also by value
added category. It is not the household which works and earns a salary, but the individual. Skipping this link may not create a major problem if households depend on only one source of factor income and if this type of income also serves to classify households. However, in practice multiple income sources prevail, both in developed countries and in developing countries. Moreover, income source is not the only classification criterion for households. In many cases, a geographical subdivision is very relevant because living standards or expenditure patterns differ substantially.

Excluding labour market information from (the Central Framework of) the next SNA is indeed a serious omission, the more so as (un)employment problems have featured very high on the policy agenda since 1968. This applies equally to developed and developing countries. Unfortunately, unemployment may remain high in many countries in the near future. Even if low population growth will solve the problem at the macro level, most experts still expect serious mismatches of demand and supply in substantial segments of the labour market. Consequently, a detailed insight into employment and wage rates by labour type and by industry becomes all the more important. SAMs have served to fill this gap, but it is about time that the 'official' national accounts statistics no longer neglect this issue.

There is only one amendment to the present SNA which goes into the direction of providing more relevant information on the type of income which is generated. This concerns the distinction of mixed income as a separate category of value added. Mixed income refers to the operating surplus of (small) unincorporated businesses, which includes a remuneration of labour input of the entrepreneur and possible unpaid family workers. Since the nature of operating surplus in these businesses is evidently quite different from that in corporate enterprise, this split obviously serves to improve the analytical clarity of the system.5)

Another deficiency in the SNA revision proposals relates to the notion that one should select for each account a (cross-classification of)
taxonomy(s) which is most relevant to the group of processes under consideration (cf. van Bochove and van Tuinen [1986: 143]). Instead, the Central Framework of the next SNA will probably focus on an (identical) institutional sector subdivision in all (T-)accounts. With regard to the matrix presentation, only an aggregated table for the integrated accounts of the nation is foreseen, in addition to a set of supply and use tables. In the latter, goods and services will be classified by CPC-section, and industries by ISIC-category. Unfortunately, the socio-economic classification of households has not been incorporated in the final consumption expenditure columns of the supply and use tables, while the full sequence of accounts for each subsector may not contain sufficient detail on the kind of commodities consumed. As a consequence, one is left with merely the row and column totals of a meaningful table showing differences in expenditure patterns by socio-economic category.

More examples of this lack of flexibility and elaboration in the use of taxonomies could in fact be given. It is clear that in many instances a cross-classification or even a three-way classification is required. This will be worked out in the next section of this paper.

Finally, the valuation principles which are used give cause for some concern. This applies in particular to value added.6) The above-mentioned discussion paper recommends the 'mixed valuation method' (i.e. outputs at basic prices and inputs at market prices), but only "...on practical grounds". Nevertheless, it is also stated that "...experts groups have noted that certain product taxes cannot be allocated to supply and therefore cannot be considered as income of domestic producers. This was true in the 1968 SNA for import duties, but is equally true for value-added type taxes." (paragraph 74)

In fact, a consistent view would be that all taxes on products, including e.g. excise taxes, cannot be considered as income of domestic producers and should therefore not be part of the core GDP concept. In addition, it is questionable whether one gets a correct impression of the contribution of e.g. the liquor industry to GDP if value added is measured including excise taxes. Finally, since taxes on domestic
products are typically not higher than taxes on the same kind of imports, the 'value' which is thus created cannot really be regarded as an achievement of inland manufacturers.

Things are slightly different with respect to taxes (and subsidies) on production processes, like various kinds of licenses. These seem to me a borderline case; they may not affect all (domestic) producers of a certain commodity to the same extent, so that it becomes more difficult to pass them on to the customer. Moreover, for example a levy on the discharge of oxygen-demanding materials into surface water is basically just as much a tax on the use of inputs as a social security premium paid on behalf of the employees. As a consequence, the core GDP concept may refer to a valuation at basic prices, that is, factor costs plus net taxes on production, but excluding taxes on the (output of) products. However, if one thinks that net taxes on production processes do not belong to value added either, GDP at factor costs is the most suitable concept in the standard accounts. Besides, that valuation principle is more commonly known than basic prices and it is also the one we will use in the rest of this paper.

Simultaneously, National Income (NI) should evidently be measured at market prices. Whereas GDP serves to analyze value added by domestic producers, NI is more oriented towards the measurement of living standards. A different purpose calls for a different valuation principle in this case. How this can be incorporated in a single framework will be shown in the next section.

In conclusion, it can be stated, first, that SAMs and the next SNA will have much in common, simply because SAMs and the present SNA share many important features too. Besides, disaggregating the household sector throughout the system as well as distinguishing a separate category mixed income will be important innovations in the next SNA.

Second, a couple of fundamental differences may remain. Particularly, the ability of the next SNA to assist national policy makers in tackling labour market problems must be questioned. Moreover, the development of
input-output models into more flexible, Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) models seems to have been overlooked (cf. Pyatt [1989]). Anyhow, the supply and use tables and the full sequence of accounts for the institutional sectors, which may constitute the core of the next SNA, do not provide sufficient information for use as a base-year data set in a CGE-model.

Third, the revision proposals do contain various elements which may be incorporated into the SAM-concept. This refers to e.g. more detail in the income generation and distribution accounts, and the addition of other changes in assets accounts (showing holding gains and losses, economic (dis)appearance of non-produced assets etc.). These adjustments are discussed in the next section of this paper.
3. A National Accounting Flows Matrix

As explained above, there remains a need for an accounting framework which links up the next SNA with the structure of currently available SAMs. Table 1 shows a so-called National Accounting Flows Matrix. This matrix was based on the design proposed by Keuning and de Ruijter [1988: table 1], and has expanded and re-arranged that framework a bit such that it integrates a) the full sequence of accounts and balancing items, and b) the set of supply and use tables, which are foreseen in the next SNA (cf. Inter-Secretariat Working Group on National Accounts [1990: tables 2.1 and 2.5]). However, on the one hand some of the proposed SNA accounts have not been included here, and on the other hand a subdivision of the capital account is introduced.

First, the clarity of exposition may improve if stock data (e.g. opening and closing balance sheets) are not incorporated. By definition, flows are transferred from one (sub)account to another: each flow implies an income for the row account and an outlay for the column account. This does not apply to stocks: those figures can only be depicted in a matrix format in a rather artificial way. Yet, the (closing) balance sheets are easily computed with the help of the information contained in this table, since all elements of Total Changes in Net Worth have been included.

Moreover, our matrix contains neither a Redistribution of income in kind account nor subdivisions of the Appropriation of (primary) income account, of the Use of income account, and of the Other changes in assets account. The Redistribution of income in kind account (numbered II.3 in the Discussion Paper’s table 2.1) mainly relates to the incidence of government expenditures benefiting identifiable households. We are not in favour of showing both the actual flows and an (imputed) rerouting of these flows in the same table. Nevertheless, the relevance of this kind of information is not questioned here and thus these imputed receipts and expenditures should be presented in supplementary
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**Notes:**
- The table contains placeholders for various economic transactions and financial flows.
- The columns represent different sectors and types of economic activities.
- The rows indicate the flow of income, capital, and financial transactions across different economic stages and sectors.
- The table is structured to show how income is generated, distributed, and used within and across national (or world) sectors.
- The final column, T O T A L, sums up all the transactions for a comprehensive view of the economic flow.
matrices.

The capital account has been subdivided in order to facilitate a link with the changes in balance sheet accounts. Therefore, the sum of sectoral savings and net capital transfers received is first put on the changes in balance sheet accounts before its use in the process of gross worth accumulation is shown.

The sequence and numbering of the accounts in table 1 agrees with those in the "Full sequence of accounts and balancing items for institutional sectors" in the UN Discussion Paper. In addition, the combined current and capital account of the Rest of the world are presented separately. This has the advantage that the important balancing item on the current account of the balance of payments is also shown explicitly in this aggregated framework. By the way, all balancing items in our matrix can be traced easily, since the relevant cells have been highlighted.

As explained above, not all accounts need to be classified by institutional sector. Instead, in each account the most relevant taxonomy is selected. This is indicated in parentheses in the row and column headings. An example of classifications which may be suitable is shown in the Appendix to this paper.

The first set of rows and columns contains the goods and services accounts. In the first set of columns, the second account contains the supply matrix (in basic prices). It shows the value of commodities generated by each production activity. In the income appropriation account, the government directly receives the net taxes on products. This method of recording obviates the necessity to allocate import duties and value added taxes on commodities to industries or sectors (which is actually not possible in any meaningful way). Imports (at c.i.f. prices) originate from the current account for the rest of the world. In order to arrive at total domestic supply at market prices, trade and transport margins must still be settled. This is done in the submatrix in the upper left-hand corner of this table. This block consists of rows for the commodities trade and transport, showing the
relevant margins on each product, and recording negative entries in the columns for trade and transport respectively, such that the row sums are equal to zero. The totals in this set of columns then give domestic commodity supply at market prices.

The first set of rows presents the use matrix, split into intermediate consumption (by production activities), final consumption (by national sectors), exports (to the rest of the world), change in stocks (as an outlay of the capital account) and fixed capital formation (by production activity in which the investment is made). The totals give domestic commodity use at market prices, and these figures are equal to the concomitant column sums representing the value of total supply (this equality naturally applies to all row and column totals).

Next, the production accounts register output as receipts of production activities, and intermediate consumption, net other taxes linked to production and gross value added as their outlays. Total value added equals Gross Domestic Product (GDP), at factor costs, and this is booked on the income generation account. This account is classified by value added category: compensation of various types of employees, net mixed income, other net operating surplus, consumption of fixed capital. The use of this taxonomy yields important information on the choice of technology by industry (in the rows) and on the kind of income received by each institutional sector, including various household groups (in the columns). For example, it is possible to distinguish between wages received by men and women. That reveals, among other things, in which industries each type of labourers is employed, and to what extent each household group depends on female labour income, male labour income or mixed income. It also acknowledges that wages are generated by individuals before they are appropriated by households. This inter-relation is overlooked if the income generation account is classified by institutional sector.\(^8\)

Some income is generated abroad (e.g. compensation of border workers). This should be added to GDP to arrive at the total nationally generated net product (by value added category). On the other hand, part of GDP is
not nationally generated. This is booked on the current account of the rest of the world in the column of the income generation account. Moreover, consumption of fixed capital is now put on the capital account. The balance equals what has been dubbed here Net National Product (NNP). This term now finally means what it says: the net product which has been generated nationally (and which is not necessarily the same, even in current prices, as national income). In our view, it makes sense to introduce this balance in between GDP and Net National Income (NNI). Wages earned are different, in a fundamental sense, from returns on portfolio investments (i.e. property income). This distinction is quite well-known within the national accounts framework and should therefore also be pursued in the current account of the rest of the world. It may perhaps be noted that the Balance of Payments Manual [IMF, 1977] also separates for instance direct investment income from other investment income.

In the income appropriation account, each institutional sector receives several types of primary income: the Net National Product contributed by each value added category, property income received from other institutional units, and property income received from abroad. Finally, net indirect taxes constitute part of primary income of the government. In the columns of this account, outlays on account of having incurred liabilities (i.e. payments of property 'income') are settled for each sector. The balance equals Net National Income at market prices, one of the most important aggregates of the system.

The NNI reappears on the credit side of the (re-)distribution of income account. Further, transfer incomes from other institutional units and from abroad are shown here. Transfer outlays are recorded on the debit side and the balance is known as disposable income (of each sector).

On the income use account, disposable income is spent. Household groups and the government consume goods and services, and the balance, (net) savings, is put on the capital account. In the case of corporations and non-profit institutions, all disposable income is saved.
In accordance with international practice, the income distribution and use accounts for the rest of the world have been combined. The traditional registration method of national accounting systems is followed here: current receipts of the rest of the world appear in the row and current outlays in the column. The balance is transferred to the capital account of the rest of the world. In common usage, this balance is viewed from the side of the national economy. Naturally, a positive balance on the current account of the rest of the world agrees with a deficit on the current account of the balance of payments of the national economy.

The capital account is quite extensive in this kind of matrix. As explained above, it is split into two components. In the first subaccount, net savings and capital transfers received (from other institutional units and from abroad) are equal to capital transfers paid and a balancing item which can be considered as that part of changes in net worth which has been generated by actions of the institution concerned (as opposed to other changes in net worth which simply occur, probably without a purposeful action by anyone).

The second capital account component adds depreciation, sales of land and other non-produced (non-financial) assets (possibly to abroad), borrowing (i.e. incurring various types of liabilities) and other increases in the volume and price of assets (economic appearance of non-produced assets, nominal holding gains etc.) of each institution to the balancing item of the first capital subaccount ('generated' changes in net worth). This yields total funds available for gross worth accumulation which is presented in the columns of this account. It consists of: gross fixed capital formation, changes in stocks, purchases of non-produced assets (from other institutions and from abroad), lending (net purchases of financial assets), other decreases in the volume and price of assets (destruction of assets by non-insurable risks, nominal holding losses etc.), and the balance of the other changes in assets account: changes in net worth due to other changes in assets.
Similar accounts are drawn for the rest of the world. Foreign savings, which may bear a negative sign, agree with the deficit on the current account of the national economy. In addition, capital transfers to abroad contribute to an increase in net worth generated by foreign institutions. Before one arrives at this balancing item, capital transfers from abroad must still be subtracted. Next, this balance is augmented by purchases of non-produced assets from abroad, lending to abroad, and nominal holding gains on (external) financial assets. The sum of these items is equal to the total of (non-produced) asset sales to abroad, borrowing from abroad, destruction of foreign assets (by non-insurable risks), uncompensated seizures of foreign assets, nominal holding losses on (external) financial assets, and changes in net worth due to other changes in (foreign) assets.

It is likely that a significant part of gross worth accumulation consists of gross fixed capital formation. In order to obtain a clear view of the dynamics of an economy, it is of great importance to know which institutions invest in which industries. Strangely enough, this information is lacking in the full sequence of accounts. Although the current proposals imply an extension of the 1968 System in various useful areas, most additionally required pieces of information are probably less relevant and more difficult to measure than the destination of funds invested by institutions. In the SAM in particular, this information should not be lacking (and be given more weight than the other changes in assets accounts, say). So in the matrix presented in table 1, this omission is remedied and a "produced capacity" account for production activities is introduced. This account registers gross capacity expansion (that is, the expansion of depreciated capacity) of each industry, from two different angles: who invests where is recorded in the rows, and which capital goods are installed in which industries appears in the columns. Notice that if this account was skipped, the capital account for institutions would have to show their acquisition of each capital good, a submatrix which is neither easy nor relevant to fill. The produced capacity accounts are certainly indispensable when a SAM serves as data basis for a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model.
Subsequently, the financial accounts are presented. These indicate which sector (including the rest of the world) has acquired which types of assets (and liabilities) during the reference period.

The one but last set of rows and columns of table 1 contains the other changes in assets accounts. The character of this account differs from all the others since it does not really relate to flows (consequences of actions), but to changes in states (other economic events). Other changes in the volume and price of assets claimed by institutional sectors and the rest of the world are recorded here, as well as the balance of those adjustments, called changes in net worth due to other changes in assets. In an extended system, these accounts may be subdivided not only by type of asset, but also by type of other change (volume adjustment, price adjustment, balancing item).

At the bottom and at the right-hand side, changes in the balance sheets close the full sequence of accounts and balancing items. The totals of this account reflect in principle all economically relevant changes in the net worth of each institution. They may be added to the opening balance sheets to arrive at the closing balance sheets. Moreover, these mutations may be presented by type of asset (and liability), if this information is at hand.

Table 1 demonstrates that it is possible to register all (aggregated) transfers between (sub)accounts on one sheet of paper. It is obvious that in most countries insufficient data are available to fill this matrix completely. For that purpose, an abbreviated table may be more practical at present. In such a matrix, the last two accounts are deleted. As a consequence, both capital accounts can be consolidated into one account by institutional sector. By way of example, this is illustrated in table 2 which presents an aggregate national accounting flows matrix for the Netherlands, filled with fictitious data based on 1988 estimates (cf. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek [1989]). In this table, the final balancing item is net lending or net borrowing (the transaction between the consolidated capital account and the financial account).
### Table 2: An Aggregate National Accounting Flows Matrix for the Netherlands, fictitious data based on 1988 estimates (billions of guilders)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT (Classification)</th>
<th>I. Production (Production Activities)</th>
<th>II.1.1 Income Generation (Value Added Categories)</th>
<th>III.1.2 - II.4 Income Distribution and Use</th>
<th>III.1 Capital (Sectors)</th>
<th>III.2 Financial (Financ. Assets)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods &amp; Services (Commodities)</td>
<td>Output, bp 791.4</td>
<td>GDP, fc 609.4</td>
<td>NWI, mp 401.7, 294.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Disposable Inc 397.8</td>
<td>397.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production (Production Activities)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Income Appropriation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Generation (Value Added Categories)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>NWI, fc 352.3</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>560.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National (Sectors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the World</td>
<td>227.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>276.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National (Sectors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Savings</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>243.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital (Prod. Activities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the World</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bal.of Payments Cur.Acc. Deficit</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial (Financial Assets)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1056.8</td>
<td>791.4</td>
<td>418.6</td>
<td>560.5</td>
<td>706.7</td>
<td>397.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: bp = at basic prices (factor costs plus other net taxes on production); fc = at factor costs; mp = at market prices.
In any national accounts yearbook, this matrix may be given a prominent place since all major domestic and national balance items as well as their inter-relation can be read directly from one. As a consequence, it may serve as a reference table for the whole System. Obviously, more detailed tables will be required as well. That implies in most cases a disaggregation of transactors or transactions in an account of the reference table (e.g. property income flows shown by type of property income). If each submatrix is labeled according to its position (row and column set of accounts number) in this table, and if this label reappears in the heading of each subsequent, disaggregated table, the readers of a national accounts publication will always be able to locate where any table fits into the System.

Finally, table 3 illustrates that even when most accounts are somewhat disaggregated, this matrix still fits on one sheet of paper. This table may also serve to clarify one of the most important advantages of the matrix presentation: the flexibility in selecting the most relevant classification in each account. The same applies to the valuation principles: a consistent method of valuation is applied within each account, but valuation methods must differ between accounts and between balancing items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT [Classification]</th>
<th>0. Goods &amp; Services</th>
<th>1. Production</th>
<th>11.1.1 Income Generation</th>
<th>11.1.2 Income Appropriation</th>
<th>11.1.3 Income Distribution and Use</th>
<th>11.1.4 Income Use</th>
<th>11.2 Other</th>
<th>11.3 Capital</th>
<th>11.3.1 Capital a. National</th>
<th>b. Rest of the World</th>
<th>11.3.2 Financial</th>
<th>11.3.3 Total</th>
<th>11.3.4 Financial</th>
<th>11.3.5 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
<td>Apr Ind Serv. u. &amp; O.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods &amp; Services Industry Services</td>
<td>2 30 1</td>
<td>17 182 68</td>
<td>3 82 -85</td>
<td>1 24 55</td>
<td>5 - - 16</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agr. Production Industry Services</td>
<td>37 - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Wages etc.</td>
<td>4 74 162</td>
<td>11 46 66</td>
<td>3 18 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Surplus</td>
<td>3 35 1</td>
<td>1 0 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income HH.</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 175</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximation Govt</td>
<td>2 35 1</td>
<td>1 0 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Income</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Distribution GOV</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- 29</td>
<td>160 16 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income HH. Use GOV</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business &amp; Rest of the World</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11 187 30</td>
<td>1 18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Comp. Govt</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of World</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Capacity</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53 677 327</td>
<td>37 374 381</td>
<td>240 131 48</td>
<td>337 169 56</td>
<td>462 39 206</td>
<td>310 22 66</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>98 119 28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix. An example of classifications in each account:

O. GOODS AND SERVICES
Commodities:
1. Domestically Produced (by CPC category)
2. Imported (by CPC category)

I. PRODUCTION
Production Activities:
   ISIC

II.1.1. INCOME GENERATION
Value Added Categories:
1. Compensation of Employees (by labour category)
2. Mixed Income - net (by institutional owner)
3. Operating Surplus - net - of producers other than those in the household sector (by institutional owner)
4. Consumption of Fixed Capital

II.1.2. INCOME APPROPRIATION,
II.2. INCOME (RE-)DISTRIBUTION,
II.4. INCOME USE, and
III.1. CAPITAL
   Institutional Sectors:
1. Households (by socio-economic category)
2. Private Non-Profit Institutions
3. Non-financial Corporations (public/private; national/foreign controlled)
4. Financial Corporations (financial intermediaries/insurance companies and pension funds)
5. General Government (central/local; social security schemes)
6. Rest of the World (by region)

PRODUCED CAPACITY
   Production Activities:
ISIC (aggregated)

III.2 FINANCING

Financial Asset Types:
1. Gold and SDRs
2. Currency and Deposits
3. Securities other than Shares
4. Loans
5. Shares and other Equity
6. Insurance Technical Reserves
7. Other accounts receivable and payable

III.3 OTHER CHANGES IN ASSETS

Assets
1. Produced Assets (by aggregated ISIC)
2. Non-produced, Non-financial Assets (land, subsoil assets, others)
3. Financial Assets (financial asset types)
Notes

1) Refer to Pyatt and Round [1985] and Keuning and de Ruijter [1988] for an overview of the structure of currently existing SAMs.

2) Imputations (and reroutings) may be taken into consideration only if it is more cumbersome to remove them than to estimate them separately. Food production is a case in point, since it is often easier to assess the total harvest and all inputs used for that purpose than to measure only the marketed surplus and to split the inputs accordingly. Without doubt, an imputation for owner-occupied dwelling services and a rerouting of employers' social contributions to wages and salaries of the employees are among those which will not be registered in the core.

3) We doubt whether a single system can ever come close to yielding comparable GDP figures all over the world. Strictly speaking, it is not possible to juxtapose more than two economies at a time. In practice, some system for use within the European Community may produce roughly analogous outcomes and the same applies to a (somewhat different) system for use in the ASEAN-countries, say. Similar arguments can be brought up against comparisons over time. Reality changes discontinuously (think only of the situation in Eastern Europe) and not all types of analysis are served by a data system from which the influence of institutional reforms has been removed.

4) The 'Dutch view' has in fact also been connected to a socio-economic accounting system for the household sector (cf. Gorter and van der Laan [1989]). The implications for input-output analysis are sketched by Reich [1989].

5) Refer to Keuning [1985] for a further elaboration and a case study on this issue.
6) Another problem concerns the valuation of international trade in the national accounts (cf. Bos [1989]).

7) It may be useful to list some of the differences between SAMs and table 2.1 of the 1968 SNA, since one sometimes comes across the view that these matrices are actually the same. In the latter, row and column totals are not given, the income accounts consist of a combination of accounts for various types of transactors (institutional sectors) and for various types of transactions (forms of income), and the same applies to the accumulation accounts (both for institutional sectors and for types of capital formation). Besides, SAMs contain subdivisions of the household sector and of value added categories (e.g. compensation of employees by labour type). On the other hand, some of the details given in the SNA-matrix are usually not (yet) shown in SAMs (e.g. on forms of income).

8) Even more relevant is showing the income generation process in a three-way table, that is simultaneously by production activity, by value added category and by institutional sector. Especially for an analysis of the uses made of non-labour income, one would like to know to which institutional sector the profits in each production activity have accrued. Besides, a three-way table may yield more realistic 'fixed' coefficients when performing multiplier analysis with the help of a SAM (cf. Keuning and Thorbecke [1989: table 2, note 7]).

9) As the Netherlands national accounts do not yet contain a subsectoring of the household sector, all flows within the household sector are equal to zero in this table. Flows within the company and government sectors reflect an aggregation of flows among subsectors.
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In many instances, the valuation of transactions in goods and services in the national accounts poses a problem. The main reason is that the price paid by the purchaser deviates from the price received by the producers. The paper discusses these problems and demonstrates that different valuations should be used in the supply and use tables and in the sectoral accounts.
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